
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

WILFREDO GONZALEZ,

Plaintiff,

**ANSWER TO AMENDED
COMPLAINT**

-against-

DR. LESTER N. WRIGHT, STEVEN SILVER, MD,
SAMUEL LAM, MD, MICHELLE PUTNAM, MD,
JONATHAN SMITH, MD, AUGUSTINE
MOSCATELLO, MD, WLADYSLAW SIDOROWICZ, MD,
S.J. LILLEY, RN/MHSA, HERBERT GOULDING, MD,
R. BLAIR, RN/MHSA,

Case 1:07-cv-02898-CM-MHD

Defendants.

Defendant, **Michelle Putnam, M.D.**, answering the Amended Complaint of the plaintiff herein:

1. Denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the allegations contained in paragraphs "I", "VI(A)" and "VI(B)" of the Amended Complaint.

2. Denies each and every allegation in said Amended Complaint not otherwise herein specifically admitted or denied.

AS AND FOR A FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

3. That the Amended Complaint fails to state a cause of action.

AS AND FOR A SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

4. Whatever injuries plaintiff may have sustained at the time and place alleged in the Amended Complaint were caused in whole or in part, or were contributed to by the culpable conduct and want of care on the part of the plaintiff, or by someone over whom the answering defendant had no control, and without any negligence or fault or want of care on the part of the answering defendant, and the plaintiff with awareness of the risks associated with the activity in question, assumed the risk thereof.

AS AND FOR A THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

5. That in entering upon the activity in which the plaintiff engaged at the time of the

-2-

happening of the accident set forth in the plaintiff's Amended Complaint, plaintiff knew the hazards thereof and the inherent risk to such activity and had full knowledge of the methods to be used in the performance of such activity and the danger thereof; whatever damages and injuries were sustained by plaintiff as alleged in the Amended Complaint herein arose from, and were caused by, such risks of the said activity and such risks were accepted and assumed by the plaintiff upon entering into and continuing in such activity.

AS AND FOR A FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

6. That the action was not commenced within two years and six months after the cause of action arose and is therefore barred by the Statute of Limitations contained in the applicable statute.

AS AND FOR A FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

7. Plaintiffs have failed to mitigate any of their alleged damages, and to the extent of such failure to mitigate, any damages awarded to plaintiffs should be reduced accordingly.

AS AND FOR A SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

8. This answering defendant asserts all the defenses available pursuant to Public Health Law § 2805-d.

AS AND FOR A SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

9. The Amended Complaint does not comply with the Order of the Court signed by the Hon. Kimba M. Wood, Chief Judge dated April 11, 2007.

AS AND FOR AN EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

10. That the Amended Complaint fails to state a cause of action pursuant to the applicable statute.

AS AND FOR A NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

11. Each answering defendant pleads that if liable at all in this matter, that liability is fifty percent (50%) or less and by reason thereof each such answering defendant's liability to the plaintiff for non-economic loss shall not exceed each respective defendant's equitable share determined in accordance with the relative culpability of each person or entity causing or contributing to the total liability for non-economic loss.

-3-

AS AND FOR A TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

12. That the Amended Complaint is fatally defective since a Certificate of Merit as required by the applicable statute was not served with the Amended Complaint.

AS AND FOR AN ELEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

13. If plaintiff seeks to recover for the costs of medical care, dental care, podiatric care, custodial care or rehabilitation services loss of earnings or other economic loss, such past or future cost or expense was or will, with reasonable certainty, be replaced or indemnified in whole or in part, from a collateral source; by reason of such, any award obtained by the plaintiff must be reduced by the amount of the evidence of payment from collateral sources.

AS AND FOR A TWELFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

14. That the relative culpability of each party who is or may be liable for the damages alleged by plaintiffs in this action should be determined in accordance with the decisional and statutory law of the State of New York, and the equitable share of each party's liability for contribution should be determined and apportioned in accordance with the relative culpability, if any, of each such party pursuant to Article 14 of the CPLR.

WHEREFORE, defendant demands judgment dismissing the plaintiff's Amended Complaint with costs, and, that the relative culpability of each party be determined and apportioned all pursuant to the applicable statutes.

DATED: March 19, 2008

**MAYNARD, O'CONNOR, SMITH
& CATALINOTTO, LLP**

S/Michael E. Catalinotto, Jr.
By: Michael E. Catalinotto, Jr.. [Bar Roll # MC2315]
Attorneys for Defendants, *Steven Silver, MD*
and Michelle Putnam, MD
P.O. Box 180
Saugerties, New York 12477
(845) 246-3666

-4-

TO: Wilfredo Gonzalez, 83A 5986
Plaintiff, Pro Se
Woodbourne Correctional Facility
P.O. Box 1000, 99 Prison Road
Woodbourne, New York 12788-1000

cc: Sabrina Jiggetts, Esq., AAG
Attorney for Defendants, *Wright,*
Sidorowicz, Lilley, Goulding & Blair
State of New York
Office of the Attorney General
120 Broadway - 24th Floor
New York, New York 10271
(212) 416-6082

John J. Corgan, Esq.
Schiavetti, Corgan, DiEdwards & Nicholson, LLP
Attorneys for *Moscatello and Smith*
709 Westchester Avenue, Suite 205
White Plains, New York 10604
(914) 946-0400

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE

STATE OF NEW YORK:

: ss:

COUNTY OF ALBANY :

Kim McDonough being duly sworn, deposes and says that she is over the age of 18 years and she is not a party to this action and that she served the within **Answer to Amended Complaint** on March 19, 2008 upon the following attorney at the following time and place in the following manner:

TO: **WILFREDO GONZALEZ, 83A 5986**

Pro Se

Woodbourne Correctional Facility,
99 Prison Road, POB 1000
Woodbourne, NY 12788-1000

by depositing true and correct copy of the same properly enclosed in a post-paid wrapper in the Official Depository maintained and exclusively controlled by the United States Postal Service at Albany, New York, 12203, directed to said attorney, respectively, at said address, respectively mentioned above, that being the address within the state designated by him/them for that purpose upon the last papers served in this action or the place where said attorney then resided or kept offices, according to the best information which can be conveniently obtained.

Kim McDonough

Sworn to before me this 19th
day of March, 2008.

Notary Public-State of New York